



NORTH CAROLINA AGRICULTURAL AND TECHNICAL STATE UNIVERSITY

SEC. II—FACULTY—Evaluation

CRITERIA FOR THE EVALUATION OF THE FACULTY

UNIVERSITY POLICY

FACULTY HANDBOOK

APPENDIX C-2

A. TEACHING PERFORMANCE

Effective teaching has many manifestations. In addition to well-planned, meaningful lectures and demonstrations, it includes the total range of faculty-student relationships through which learning is achieved. In evaluating teaching performance, consideration should be given to a number of items.

These include, but are not limited to the following:

1. Conducting classes, seminars and other group discussions. Competence in this area is related to one's preparation for his/her subject and his/her continuous growth through study and productive scholarship in his/her academic field or discipline. It includes evidence of the ability to organize the general program or course, the ability to

- develop appropriate lesson plans and assignments, and evidence of the ability of the faculty member to evaluate student learning and achievement.
2. Developing productive relations with students, individually and in groups. Consider the extent to which the faculty member works with students in extra-class activities and makes himself/herself available for advising and counseling.
 3. Developing or securing and using adequate teaching aids. These include up-to-date course outlines, syllabi, supplementary textbooks and eBooks, demonstration kits, laboratory equipment, videos, smartboards, and other aids to reinforce learning.

B. RESEARCH PERFORMANCE AND PROFESSIONAL GROWTH

The nature and value of research and professional activity vary according to the faculty member's academic field or discipline. For this reason, each distinct subdivision of the University should adapt this section of the criteria to its purpose and use. In undertaking a general assessment of Research Performance and Professional Growth:

1. Consideration should be given to scholarship as indicated by: (1) the ability to secure grants for research; (2) published research articles; and (3) evidence of other creative activity of high quality and significance. In certain fields such as art, architecture, music, drama and literature, creative activity should receive consideration equivalent to distinction attained in research associated with the natural and social sciences. In evaluating artistic works, originality, scope, richness, and depth of creative expression should be considered.
2. Consideration should be given to professional growth and activity as evidenced through: (1) advanced study in a specific field in a regionally accredited institution; (2) citations received for scholarly achievement; (3) membership, leadership and participation in professional organizations; and (4) travel for professional improvement.
3. Recognition should be given to the research contribution made by the faculty member as an individual or as a member of a group. The supervision of research conducted by undergraduate and graduate students should be considered. Publications and other completed creative work may be regarded as the primary source of evidence. However, a faculty member's total research program should also be recognized.

C. SERVICE TO THE UNIVERSITY

The faculty has an important role to play in formulating policies and administering the program of the University. In evaluating one's service to the University:

1. Recognition should be given to faculty members who have made outstanding contributions to the University by participating regularly, effectively and imaginatively in University governance and the formulation of department, college

and University policies. Consider evidence of participation on committees and special administrative assignments, including advising student groups/organizations.

2. Consideration should be given to the faculty member's sense of responsibility and reliability as evidenced by the execution of assigned tasks on time. These include meeting classes on time, accepting and completing departmental and college assignments, keeping accurate records, and preparing and submitting reports.

It should be remembered that this descriptive statement is not intended to be a complete or comprehensive guide to cover all types of evidence to be considered under the criteria.

Approved by the Board of Trustees

Date revision is effective: upon approval

First approved: Prior to February 21, 2007

Revised: April 8, 2011

November 16, 2018