

COMP 681 Formal Methods Spring 2008 Assignment 6—Solutions

Due Monday, April 28

1. Translate the following into the language of predicate logic using the indicated function symbols.

a. *People who work in the same office have the same boss.*

Use the function symbols $office(_)$ and $boss(_)$, where

$office(x) = y$ means y is the office in which x works, and

$boss(x) = y$ means y is x 's boss.

Answer

$$\forall x, y \bullet office(x) = office(y) \Rightarrow boss(x) = boss(y)$$

c. *No student at A&T has a higher GPA than John's roommate.*

Use the constant symbols $A\&T$ and $John$ and the function symbols $college_of(_)$, $gpa(_)$, and $roommate(_)$, where

$college_of(x) = y$ means y is the college x attends,

$gpa(x) = y$ means y is x 's GPA, and

$roommate(x) = y$ means y is x 's roommate.

Answer

$$\forall x \bullet college_of(x) = A\&T \Rightarrow \neg (gpa(x) > gpa(roommate(John)))$$

2. Let

$$\varphi = \forall x \bullet \exists z \bullet p(z, x, h(y)) \Rightarrow q(x, y)$$

$$t_1 = f(g(u), v)$$

$$t_2 = f(y, g(z))$$

a. Is t_1 free for y in φ ? **Answer:** Yes. (Note that there's only 1 free occurrence of x in φ .)

b. Is t_2 free for y in φ ? **Answer:** No. The y would be captured by the $\exists y$ if t_2 were substituted for the free occurrence of x in φ .

3. Show that the following arguments are valid.

$$\forall x \bullet (\exists y \bullet p(x, y) \wedge q(y)) \Rightarrow f(x) = g(x)$$

$$p(A, B)$$

$$q(B)$$

$$\forall x \bullet p(x, B) \Rightarrow h(f(x), g(A)) = D$$

$$h(g(A), g(A)) = D$$

Answer

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. $\forall x \bullet (\exists y \bullet p(x, y) \wedge q(y)) \Rightarrow f(x) = g(x)$ | premise |
| 2. $p(A, B)$ | premise |
| 3. $q(B)$ | premise |
| 4. $\forall x \bullet p(x, B) \Rightarrow h(f(x), g(A)) = D$ | premise |
| 5. $(\exists y \bullet p(A, y) \wedge q(y)) \Rightarrow f(A) = g(A)$ | from 1, \forall_E |
| 6. $p(A, B) \wedge q(B)$ | from 2 & 3, \wedge_I |
| 7. $\exists y \bullet p(A, y) \wedge q(y)$ | from 6, \exists_I |
| 8. $f(A) = g(A)$ | from 5 & 7, \Rightarrow_E (Modus ponens) |
| 9. $p(A, B) \Rightarrow h(f(A), g(A)) = D$ | from 4, \forall_E |
| 10. $h(f(A), g(A)) = D$ | from 9 & 2, \Rightarrow_E (Modus ponens) |
| 11. $h(g(A), g(A)) = D$ | from 8 & 10, $=_E$ |

4. Prove the following by simple (weak) induction.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

Answer

Basis: $n = 1$

$$LHS = \sum_{i=1}^1 i^2 = 1^2 = 1$$

$$RHS = \frac{1(1+1)(2 \cdot 1 + 1)}{6} = \frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}{6} = 1$$

So $LHS = RHS$

Induction step

$$\text{Assume that } \sum_{i=1}^k i^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6}$$

$$\text{(and show that } \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^2 = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2(k+1)+1)}{6} \text{)}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k i^2 + (k+1)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^2 \quad \text{by the induction hypothesis} \\
&= \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1) + 6(k+1)(k+1)}{6} \\
&= \frac{(k(2k+1) + 6(k+1))(k+1)}{6} = \frac{(2k^2 + 7k + 6)(k+1)}{6} = \frac{(k+2)(2k+3)(k+1)}{6} \\
&= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2(k+1)+1)}{6} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}
\end{aligned}$$

5. Prove the following by strong induction.

The largest element in a subtree of a heap is at the root of the subtree. (You are given only the heap condition. “Subtree” here is taken in the sense of a tree that is a [not necessarily proper] part of a tree. [Thus, for example, a heap is a subtree of itself.])

Answer

Assume that elements are distinct and that the heaps are non-empty.

We prove this for heaps. It applies to subtrees of heaps, which themselves are also heaps.

Basis: Consider a singleton heap.

In a singleton heap, the only node is the root.

So largest (and only) element must be at the root.

Induction Step

Assume that, in a heap of size i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, the largest element is at the root (and show that, for a heap of size $k+1$, the largest element is at the root).

Let H be a heap of size $k+1$ with left subtree L and right subtree R . Both L and R are heaps. Since $k \geq 1$, so $k+1 > 1$, L can't be empty

Since the root is a node, L and R (if it exists) have at most k nodes each, so, by the induction hypothesis, their largest elements are at their roots. By the heap property, the element at the root of H is greater than the element at its left child, the root of L , hence it is greater than any element in L . Again by the heap property, the element at the root of H is greater than its right child (if it exists), the root of R , hence it is greater than any element of R (if there are any). So the root of H is greater than any elements in either subtree, that is, it is the largest element in the heap.

6. You are given the following valid predicate-logic formula:

$$\forall x \bullet \varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x) \langle \equiv \rangle (\forall x \bullet \varphi(x)) \wedge (\forall x \bullet \psi(x))$$

By induction, prove that the generalization of this is valid, that is, prove

$$\forall x \bullet \varphi_0(x) \wedge \varphi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_n(x) \langle \equiv \rangle (\forall x \bullet \varphi_0(x)) \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_1(x)) \wedge \dots \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_n(x))$$

Answer

We use weak induction on the number n of \wedge 's.

Basis: $n = 1$

This is given by valid formula stated above.

Induction step

Assume the result holds for $k \geq 1$ \wedge 's:

$$\forall x \bullet \varphi_0(x) \wedge \varphi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_k(x) \langle \equiv \rangle (\forall x \bullet \varphi_0(x)) \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_1(x)) \wedge \dots \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_k(x))$$

(and show that it holds for $k+1$ \wedge 's:

$$\forall x \bullet \varphi_0(x) \wedge \varphi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_n(x) \wedge \varphi_{k+1}(x)$$

$$\langle \equiv \rangle (\forall x \bullet \varphi_0(x)) \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_1(x)) \wedge \dots \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_n(x)) \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_{k+1}(x))$$

$$\forall x \bullet \varphi_0(x) \wedge \varphi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_n(x) \wedge \varphi_{k+1}(x)$$

$$\langle \equiv \rangle \forall x \bullet (\varphi_0(x) \wedge \varphi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_n(x)) \wedge \varphi_{k+1}(x) \quad \text{by Assoc. of } \wedge$$

$$\langle \equiv \rangle (\forall x \bullet \varphi_0(x) \wedge \varphi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_n(x)) \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_{k+1}(x)) \quad \text{by the given formula}$$

$$\langle \equiv \rangle ((\forall x \bullet \varphi_0(x)) \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_1(x)) \wedge \dots \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_n(x))) \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_{k+1}(x)) \quad \text{by the ind. hyp.}$$

$$\langle \equiv \rangle (\forall x \bullet \varphi_0(x)) \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_1(x)) \wedge \dots \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_n(x)) \wedge (\forall x \bullet \varphi_{k+1}(x)) \quad \text{by Assoc. of } \wedge$$

Q.E.D.

7. You are given the following version of the rule Constructive Dilemma:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 p \Rightarrow q \\
 r \Rightarrow s \\
 p \vee r \\
 \text{-----} \\
 q \vee s
 \end{array}$$

By induction, prove the generalization of this:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 p_1 \Rightarrow q_1 \\
 p_2 \Rightarrow q_2 \\
 \dots \\
 p_n \Rightarrow q_n \\
 p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_n \\
 \text{-----} \\
 q_1 \vee q_2 \vee \dots \vee q_n
 \end{array}$$

Answer

We use weak induction on the number n of premises of the form $p_i \Rightarrow q_i$.

Basis: $n = 2$

This is a case of the given rule.

Induction step

Assume the rule is valid for $k \geq 2$ implicational premises:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 p_1 \Rightarrow q_1 \\
 p_2 \Rightarrow q_2 \\
 \dots \\
 p_k \Rightarrow q_k \\
 p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_k \\
 \text{-----} \\
 q_1 \vee q_2 \vee \dots \vee q_k
 \end{array}$$

(and show that it holds for $k+1$ implicational premises:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 p_1 \Rightarrow q_1 \\
 p_2 \Rightarrow q_2 \\
 \dots \\
 p_k \Rightarrow q_k \\
 p_{k+1} \Rightarrow q_{k+1} \\
 p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_k \vee p_{k+1} \\
 \text{-----} \\
 q_1 \vee q_2 \vee \dots \vee q_k \vee q_{k+1})
 \end{array}$$

We first prove a lemma:

$$p_k \Rightarrow q_k, p_{k+1} \Rightarrow q_{k+1} \vdash p_k \vee p_{k+1} \Rightarrow q_k \vee q_{k+1}$$

Proof of the lemma:

1. $p_k \Rightarrow q_k$ Premise
2. $p_{k+1} \Rightarrow q_{k+1}$ Premise
3. $p_k \vee p_{k+1}$ Assumption
4. $q_k \vee q_{k+1}$ 1, 2, & 3 by Constructive Dilemma
5. $p_k \vee p_{k+1} \Rightarrow q_k \vee q_{k+1}$ 3-4, \Rightarrow _I (Conditional Proof)

Now for the main proof:

1. $p_1 \Rightarrow q_1$ Premise
2. $p_2 \Rightarrow q_2$ Premise
- ...
- k. $p_k \Rightarrow q_k$ Premise
- k+1. $p_{k+1} \Rightarrow q_{k+1}$ Premise
- k+2. $p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_k \vee p_{k+1}$ Premise
- k+3. $p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee (p_k \vee p_{k+1})$ k+2, Associativity of \vee
- k+4. $p_k \vee p_{k+1} \Rightarrow q_k \vee q_{k+1}$ k and k+1, by the above lemma
- k+5. $q_1 \vee q_2 \vee \dots \vee (q_k \vee q_{k+1})$ 1 to k-1, k+4, and k+3, by the ind.hypothesis
- k+6. $q_1 \vee q_2 \vee \dots \vee q_k \vee q_{k+1}$ k+5, Associativity of \vee Q.E.D